This article was downloaded by: [Renmin University of China] On: 13 October 2013, At: 10:32 Publisher: Taylor & Francis Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Journal of Coordination Chemistry

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information: http://www.tandfonline.com/loi/gcoo20

Syntheses, characterization, and crystal structures of two fluorinated gallium phosphates templated by organic amines

Ping Li^a, Li-Juan Zheng^a & Zhi-Hong Liu^a

^a Key Laboratory for Macromolecular Science of Shaanxi Province, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710062, China Published online: 08 Apr 2011.

To cite this article: Ping Li , Li-Juan Zheng & Zhi-Hong Liu (2011) Syntheses, characterization, and crystal structures of two fluorinated gallium phosphates templated by organic amines, Journal of Coordination Chemistry, 64:7, 1254-1264

To link to this article: <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00958972.2011.565756</u>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Taylor & Francis makes every effort to ensure the accuracy of all the information (the "Content") contained in the publications on our platform. However, Taylor & Francis, our agents, and our licensors make no representations or warranties whatsoever as to the accuracy, completeness, or suitability for any purpose of the Content. Any opinions and views expressed in this publication are the opinions and views of the authors, and are not the views of or endorsed by Taylor & Francis. The accuracy of the Content should not be relied upon and should be independently verified with primary sources of information. Taylor and Francis shall not be liable for any losses, actions, claims, proceedings, demands, costs, expenses, damages, and other liabilities whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with, in relation to or arising out of the use of the Content.

This article may be used for research, teaching, and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, redistribution, reselling, loan, sub-licensing, systematic supply, or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden. Terms & Conditions of access and use can be found at http://www.tandfonline.com/page/terms-and-conditions



Syntheses, characterization, and crystal structures of two fluorinated gallium phosphates templated by organic amines

PING LI, LI-JUAN ZHENG and ZHI-HONG LIU*

Key Laboratory for Macromolecular Science of Shaanxi Province, School of Chemistry and Materials Science, Shaanxi Normal University, Xi'an 710062, China

(Received 12 November 2010; in final form 27 January 2011)

Two fluorinated gallium phosphates templated by organic amines, $(C_4H_{15}N_3)[Ga_3F_2(PO_4)_3]$ (1) and $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)][Ga_3F_4(HPO_4)_4]$ (2), have been synthesized under hydrothermal and solvothermal conditions, respectively. The compounds were characterized by elemental analyses, FT-IR spectroscopy, and powder X-ray diffraction. Their crystal structures were determined from single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The crystal structure of 1 has a 3-D framework with 10-membered ring channels along the *b*-axis. The crystal structure with pseudo 10-membered ring channels along the *a*-axis through $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. The protonated organic amine cations are located in the inorganic channel and interact with the polyanion framework both electrostatically and *via* $N-H\cdots O$ and $N-H\cdots F$ hydrogen bonds.

Keywords: Gallium phosphate; Organic amine; Synthesis; Crystal structure

1. Introduction

Since the discovery of microporous aluminum phosphates, hydrothermal synthesis, and structural characterization of new open-framework solids templated by organic molecules have attracted considerable attention. Many metal and nonmetal phosphates with open-framework structures have been synthesized owing to their rich structural chemistry and potential applications in ion exchange, adsorption, separation, and catalysis [1]. Gallium phosphates constitute an important family that exhibits rich structural and compositional diversity. Fluorides have also been incorporated in many inorganic open frameworks, following the synthetic route opened by Guth et al. [2]. Some fluorinated gallium phosphates templated by organic base have been reported, such as $[H_3N(CH_2)_6NH_3]_4[Ga_{16}F_7(PO_4)_{14}(HPO_4)_2(OH)_2] \cdot 6H_2O$ [3], $[H_3N(CH_2)_3NH_3]_4[Ga_{16}F_7(PO_4)_{14}(HPO_4)_2(OH)_2] \cdot 6H_2O$ [3], $[H_3N(CH_2)_3NH_3]_4$ $[C_5H_{14}N_2]_2[Ga_3F(PO_4)_4]_3 \cdot 5.25H_2O,$ $[Ga_3F_2P_3O_{12}] \cdot H_2O$ [4], $[(R)-C_5H_{14}N_2]_2$ $[Ga_3F(PO_4)_4]_3 \cdot 5.5H_2O, [(S)-C_5H_{14}N_2]_2[Ga_3F(PO_4)_4]_3 \cdot 4.75H_2O [5], [NH_3(CH_2)_3NH_3]_3 \cdot 4.75H_2O [5], [NH_3(CH_2)_3O [5], [NH_3(CH_2)_3O [5], [NH_3(CH_2)_3O [5], [NH_3(CH_2)_3O$ [GaF(HPO₄)₂] · 2H₂O [6], [NH₃(CH₂)₃NH₃]GaF(HPO₄)₂ [7], [(C₂H₇N)₃N][Ga(HPO₄) $(PO_4)(OH)$]·H₂O [8], $(C_6H_{20}N_4)_{2.5}$ [Ga₁₀(PO₄)₂(HPO₄)₁₂(OH)₅]·4.5H₂O [9], and

^{*}Corresponding author. Email: liuzh@snnu.edu.cn

 $(C_3H_{12}N_2)_6[Ga_{12}P_{16}O_{64}] \cdot 4.3H_2O$ [10]. In this article, we report the syntheses and crystal structures of two fluorinated gallium phosphates templated by organic amines, $(C_4H_{15}N_3)[Ga_3F_2(PO_4)_3]$ (1) and $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)][Ga_3F_4(HPO_4)_4]$ (2).

2. Experimental

2.1. Synthesis and characterization

 $(C_4H_{15}N_3)[Ga_3F_2(PO_4)_3]$ (1) was synthesized from a mixture of 0.0949 g of Ga_2O_3 , 0.1402 g of B_2O_3 , 1.2387 g of H_3BO_3 , 1 mL of diethylenetriamine, 0.4 mL of H_3PO_4 (85%), 3.5 mL of HF (40%), and 2 mL of H_2O . This mixture was sealed in 15 mL of Teflon-lined stainless-steel vessels, heated at 170°C for about 15 days under autogenous pressure, and then cooled to room temperature. The resulting columnar colorless crystals were collected and dried in air at ambient temperature.

 $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)][Ga_3F_4(HPO_4)_4]$ (2) was synthesized from a mixture of 0.1495 g of Ga₂O₃, 0.1850 g of 4,4'-bipyridine, 1 mL of H₂O, 3 mL of methanol, 0.75 mL of ethylenediamine, 0.5 mL of HF (40%), and 0.65 mL of H₃PO₄ (85%). The mixture was heated in a 15 mL of Teflon-lined stainless-steel autoclave at 185°C for 3 days under autogenous pressure and then cooled to room temperature. The resulting colorless crystals were obtained by filtration, washed with deionized water and alcohol, and dried in air to a constant mass at ambient temperature.

The obtained samples have been characterized by elemental analyses (determined on a Vario EL III Elemental Analyzer), FT-IR spectroscopy (recorded from 400 to 4000 cm^{-1} on a Nicolet NEXUS 670 spectrometer with KBr pellets at room temperature), and X-ray powder diffraction (XRPD, Rigaku D/MAX-IIIC with Cu target at 8°min⁻¹).

2.2. Determination of crystal structures

Crystals of 1 (columnar, dimensions of $0.46 \text{ mm} \times 0.42 \text{ mm} \times 0.36 \text{ mm}$) and 2 (block, dimensions of $0.35 \times 0.30 \times 0.28 \text{ mm}^3$) were selected for crystal structure measurements at room temperature. X-ray diffraction intensities were recorded by a Bruker Smart-1000 CCD diffractometer with graphite-monochromated Mo-K α radiation ($\lambda = 0.071073 \text{ nm}$) in the ω scanning mode at room temperature. An empirical absorption correction was applied using SADABS [11]. The structures were both solved by direct methods. The gallium, phosphorus, fluorine, oxygen, carbon, and nitrogen were found in the final difference Fourier map. Positions of hydrogens attached to nitrogens in ethylenediaminium cations were estimated from the difference Fourier map, and all other hydrogens in 1 and 2 were geometrically placed. The structures were refined on F^2 by full-matrix least-squares using the SHELXL-97 program package [12]. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. Crystal data and conditions of the intensity measurements are given in table 1. The main bond lengths and angles for 1 and 2 are listed in table 2.

Compounds	1	2
Empirical formula	$C_4H_{15}F_2Ga_3N_3O_{12}P_3$	$C_4H_{23}F_4Ga_3N_4O_{16}P_4$
Formula weight	637.26	792.30
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	Triclinic
Space group	Pbca	$P\bar{1}$
Unit cell dimensions (Å, °)		
a	16.0206(19)	5.2016(6)
b	10.1070(12)	9.8396(10)
С	18.533(2)	9.9885(11)
α	90	79.8830(10)
β	90	85.440(2)
γ	90	87.185(2)
Volume (Å ³), Z	3000.9(6), 8	501.36(9), 1
Calculated density $(Mg m^{-3})$	2.821	2.624
Absorption coefficient (mm^{-1})	5.768	4.449
F(000)	2496	392
Goodness-of-fit on F^2	1.114	1.075
Final <i>R</i> indices $[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	$R_1 = 0.0576, wR_2 = 0.1598$	$R_1 = 0.0223, wR_2 = 0.0572$

Table 1. Crystal data and structure refinement for $(C_4H_{15}N_3)[Ga_3F_2(PO_4)_3]$ (1) and $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)][Ga_3F_4(HPO_4)_4]$ (2).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Elemental analysis

Found (Calcd) for **1** (%): C, 7.78 (7.54); H, 2.49 (2.37); N, 6.53 (6.59). For **2** (%): C, 5.88 (6.06); H, 3.15 (2.93); N, 7.49 (7.07). The results are consistent with calculated values based on the formula given by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.

3.2. FT-IR spectra

The FT-IR spectra (Supplementary material) exhibit absorptions assigned referring to literature data [13–15]. The broad medium absorptions of **1** at 3500–2500 cm⁻¹ are due to N–H and C–H stretches. Medium absorptions at 1609 and 1516 cm⁻¹ are bending modes of NH₃⁺ from amine ions, and the bending mode of CH₂ gives the band at 1444 cm⁻¹. The strong band at 1000 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to ν (P–O). Absorption bands at 700–430 cm⁻¹ can be attributed to ν (Ga–O). Absorption bands of **2** at 3560 and 3141 cm⁻¹ are due to N–H, O–H, and C–H stretches. The band at 2349 cm⁻¹ might arise from stretches of CO₂ in the air [16]. Bands at 1621, 1540, and 1466 cm⁻¹ are assigned to bending modes of NH₃⁺ and CH₂ of ethylenediaminium ions. The strong absorption at 1050 cm⁻¹ is attributed to ν (P–O) and the band at 457 cm⁻¹ to ν (Ga–O).

3.3. X-ray diffraction

XPRD patterns of as-synthesized compounds and the simulated patterns on the basis of single-crystal structures of 1 and 2 are found in Supplementary material. The diffraction peaks on patterns corresponded well in position, indicating the phase purities of the as-synthesized samples.

1			
Ga(1)–O(9)	1.931(6)	Ga(2)–O(2)	1.871(6)
Ga(1) - O(1)	1.943(6)	Ga(2)–O(6)	1.941(6)
Ga(1) - O(3) # 1	1.946(6)	Ga(2) - F(2) # 3	2.019(5)
Ga(1) - F(1)	1.949(5)	Ga(3) - O(7)	1.847(6)
Ga(1) = O(5)	1.950(6)	Ga(3) - O(12) # 3	1.860(6)
$G_{2}(1) - F(2)$	1.974(5)	$G_{2}(3) - O(4) \# 1$	1.868(7)
$G_{2}(2) - O(8) \# 2$	1.830(6)	$G_{2}(3) - O(11) # 4$	1.000(7) 1.934(6)
Ga(2) O(0) # 2 Ga(2) O(10) # 3	1.850(0)	$G_{a}(3) = G_{11}(1)$	2.016(5)
D(1) O(2)	1.531(6)	D(3) - D(4)	2.010(3) 1.521(6)
P(1) = O(3)	1.521(0)	P(2) = O(0)	1.551(0)
P(1) = O(1)	1.532(7)	P(2) = O(7)	1.539(7)
P(1) - O(4)	1.538(7)	P(3) - O(11)	1.526(7)
P(1) - O(2)	1.543(7)	P(3) - O(9)	1.532(6)
P(2)–O(8)	1.527(7)	P(3)–O(10)	1.534(6)
P(2)–O(5)	1.530(7)	P(3)–O(12)	1.543(6)
O(9)–Ga(1)–O(1)	87.7(3)	O(8)#2-Ga(2)-O(6)	96.8(3)
O(9)–Ga(1)–O(3)#1	88.6(3)	O(10)#3–Ga(2)–O(6)	92.4(3)
O(1)-Ga(1)-O(3)#1	175.2(3)	O(2)-Ga(2)-O(6)	91.5(3)
O(9)-Ga(1)-F(1)	175.0(2)	O(8)#2-Ga(2)-F(2)#3	89.3(3)
O(1)-Ga(1)-F(1)	96.0(2)	O(10)#3-Ga(2)-F(2)#3	85.8(2)
O(3)#1-Ga(1)-F(1)	87.6(2)	O(2)-Ga(2)-F(2)#3	85.5(3)
O(9)-Ga(1)-O(5)	94.4(3)	O(6)-Ga(2)-F(2)#3	173.8(2)
O(1) - Ga(1) - O(5)	94.6(3)	O(7)-Ga(3)-O(12)#3	130.0(3)
O(3)#1-Ga(1)-O(5)	88.7(3)	O(7)-Ga(3)-O(4)#1	115.4(3)
F(1) - Ga(1) - O(5)	88 8(2)	O(12)#3–Ga(3)–O(4)#1	114 3(3)
O(9)-Ga(1)-F(2)	91.7(2)	O(7) - Ga(3) - O(11) + 4	91 3(3)
$O(1)-G_2(1)-F(2)$	88 1(2)	$O(12)#3-G_2(3)-O(11)#4$	95.0(3)
O(3)#1 G ₂ (1) E(2)	80.1(2)	O(12)#5 Ga(5) O(11)#4	80 4(3)
$G(3)_{\#} = Ga(1) = F(2)$	84 9(2)	$O(4)_{\#} I^{-} Oa(3) - O(11)_{\#} 4$ $O(7) G_{2}(3) F(1)$	87.4(3)
$\Gamma(1) = Oa(1) = \Gamma(2)$	64.9(2)	$O(7) = Oa(3) = \Gamma(1)$ $O(12) //2 = C_{\pi}(2) = \Gamma(1)$	87.2(2)
O(3) - Ga(1) - F(2)	1/3.4(2)	O(12)#5-Ga(5)-F(1)	80.4(2)
O(8)#2-Ga(2)-O(10)#3	112.8(3)	$O(4)_{\#1} - Ga(3) - F(1)$	90.8(2)
O(8)#2-Ga(2)-O(2)	111.3(3)	O(11)#4–Ga(3)–F(1)	1/8.4(2)
O(10)#3-Ga(2)-O(2)	134.9(3)		
O(3) - P(1) - O(1)	110.9(4)	O(8) - P(2) - O(7)	104.5(4)
O(3) - P(1) - O(4)	111.3(4)	O(5) - P(2) - O(7)	112.5(4)
O(1)-P(1)-O(4)	106.6(4)	O(6) - P(2) - O(7)	110.6(4)
O(3) - P(1) - O(2)	110.8(4)	O(11)–P(3)–O(9)	108.4(4)
O(1)-P(1)-O(2)	109.1(4)	O(11)–P(3)–O(10)	109.7(4)
O(4) - P(1) - O(2)	108.0(4)	O(9)–P(3)–O(10)	113.3(4)
O(8) - P(2) - O(5)	109.9(4)	O(11) - P(3) - O(12)	109.3(4)
O(8) - P(2) - O(6)	107.9(4)	O(9) - P(3) - O(12)	108.3(4)
O(5)–P(2)–O(6)	111.1(4)	O(10)–P(3)–O(12)	107.7(4)
2			
Ga(1)-F(2)#1	1.9522(18)	P(1)–O(1)	1.525(2)
Ga(1)-F(2)	1.9522(18)	P(1) - O(2)	1.513(2)
Ga(1) = O(5) #1	1.958(2)	P(1) = O(3)	1 5344(19)
Ga(1) = O(5)	1.958(2)	P(1) = O(4)	1 577(2)
$G_{a}(1) = O(1)$	1 9859(19)	P(2) = O(5)	1.540(2)
$G_{2}(1) O(1)$	1.9859(19)	P(2) O(5)	1.540(2) 1.533(2)
$G_{2}(2) \cap (8) \# 2$	1.0310(10)	P(2) O(7)	1.555(2) 1.552(2)
$G_{a}(2) = O(0) \# 2$	1.229(12)	$P(2) \cap (1)$	1.332(2) 1.514(2)
$G_{\alpha}(2) = F(1)$	1.9320(10)	$\Gamma(2) = O(0)$ $\Gamma_{0}(2) = O(6)$	1.310(2) 1.042(2)
$\operatorname{Ga}(2) = \Gamma(2)$ $\operatorname{Ga}(2) = \Omega(2)$	1.9403(10)	Ga(2) = O(0) Ga(2) = O(2) J/2	1.943(2)
Ga(2) = O(2)	1.9803(19)	Ga(2) = O(3) # 2	2.0100(19)
F(2)#1-Ga(1)-F(2)	180.000(1)	F(1)-Ga(2)-O(2)	83.55(7)
F(2)#1-Ga(1)-O(5)#1	90.19(8)	F(2)-Ga(2)-O(2)	91.73(8)
F(2)-Ga(1)-O(5)#1	89.81(8)	O(8)#2-Ga(2)-O(2)	169.31(8)
F(2)#1-Ga(1)-O(5)	89.81(8)	O(6)–Ga(2)–O(2)	92.71(8)

Table 2. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for $(C_4H_{15}N_3)[Ga_3F_2(PO_4)_3]$ (1) and $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)][Ga_3F_4(HPO_4)_4]$ (2).

(Continued)

F(2)-Ga(1)-O(5)	90.19(8)	O(8)#2-Ga(2)-O(3)#2	87.75(8)
O(5)#1-Ga(1)-O(5)	180.00(12)	F(1)-Ga(2)-O(3)#2	87.89(8)
F(2)#1-Ga(1)-O(1)	88.02(8)	F(2)-Ga(2)-O(3)#2	92.81(8)
F(2)-Ga(1)-O(1)	91.98(8)	O(6)–Ga(2)–O(3)#2	176.20(8)
O(5)#1-Ga(1)-O(1)	88.68(8)	O(2)–Ga(2)–O(3)#2	87.04(8)
O(5)-Ga(1)-O(1)	91.32(8)	O(2) - P(1) - O(1)	113.20(11)
F(2)#1-Ga(1)-O(1)#1	91.98(8)	O(2) - P(1) - O(3)	112.18(11)
F(2)-Ga(1)-O(1)#1	88.02(8)	O(1) - P(1) - O(3)	111.38(11)
O(5)#1-Ga(1)-O(1)#1	91.32(8)	O(2) - P(1) - O(4)	109.27(11)
O(5)-Ga(1)-O(1)#1	88.68(8)	O(1) - P(1) - O(4)	103.66(12)
O(1)-Ga(1)-O(1)#1	180.000(1)	O(3)–P(1)–O(4)	106.56(11)
O(8)#2-Ga(2)-F(1)	86.94(8)	O(8)–P(2)–O(6)	111.60(12)
O(8)#2-Ga(2)-F(2)	97.84(8)	O(8)–P(2)–O(5)	111.65(12)
F(1)-Ga(2)-F(2)	175.19(7)	O(6)–P(2)–O(5)	111.29(11)
O(8)#2-Ga(2)-O(6)	91.87(8)	O(8)–P(2)–O(7)	107.50(11)
F(1)–Ga(2)–O(6)	88.31(8)	O(6)–P(2)–O(7)	108.25(11)
F(2)-Ga(2)-O(6)	90.99(8)	O(5)–P(2)–O(7)	106.27(12)

Table 2. Continued.

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms for 1: #1: -x, y + 1/2, -z + 1/2; #2: -x + 1/2, y - 1/2, z; #3: -x, y - 1/2, -z + 1/2; #4: x, -y + 3/2, z - 1/2; for 2: #1: -x + 3, -y + 3, -z + 1; #2: x + 1, y, z.

3.4. Description of crystal structures

3.4.1. Crystal structure of 1. Single-crystal X-ray analysis demonstrated that 1 is orthorhombic with space group Pbca, a = 16.0206(19)Å, b = 10.1070(12) Å. c = 18.533(2) Å, $\beta = 90^{\circ}$, V = 3000.9(6) Å³, Z = 8. The asymmetric structure of 1 consists of a protonated diethylenetriamine $[C_4H_{15}N_3]^{2+}$ and a polyanion $[Ga_3F_2(PO_4)_3]^{2-}$, as shown in figure 1, which also shows the coordination environments of P and Ga. In this polyanion, galliums have two different coordination modes: Ga(1) is octahedrally coordinated with four oxygens and two fluorines. The Ga(1)–O (O1, O3A, O5, and O9) distances vary from 1.931(6) to 1.950(6) Å with the mean of 1.943 Å. The Ga(1)-F (F1 and F2) distances vary from 1.949(5) to 1.974(5) Å with the mean of 1.962 Å. Both Ga(2) and Ga(3) are five coordinate by four oxygens and one fluorine. The Ga(2)-O (O8A, O10A, O2, and O6) distances vary from 1.830(6) to 1.941(6) Å with the mean of 1.943 Å. The Ga(2)–F (F2A) distance is 2.019(5) Å. The Ga(3)–O (O7, O12A, O4A, and O11A) distances vary from 1.847(6) to 1.934(6)Å with a mean of 1.877Å, and the Ga(3)-F(F1) distance is 2.016(5)Å. These gallium polyhedra (two trigonal bipyramids and one octahedron) are separated from each other by three types of PO_4 tetrahedra with P-O distances within 1.521(6)-1.543(7) Å, forming the basic building unit of $[Ga_{3}F_{2}(PO_{4})_{3}].$

The $[Ga_3F_2(PO_4)_3]^{2-}$ are also connected through oxygens shared by both gallium and phosphorus to neighboring units forming a 3-D framework with 10-membered ring channels along the *b*-axis (figure 2). This channel is formed through a strict alternation of GaOF polyhedra and PO₄ tetrahedra. The pore aperture and size are shown in figure 3(a). The $[C_4H_{15}N_3]^{2+}$ cations are located in the inorganic channel to compensate the negative charges and interact with the polyanion framework both electrostatically and *via* N–H···O and N–H····F hydrogen bonds. The details of hydrogen bonds for 1 are given in table 3.

This fluorinated gallium phosphate polyanion $[Ga_3F_2(PO_4)_3]^{2-}$ was also present in $[H_3N(CH_2)_3NH_3][Ga_3P_3O_{12}F_2] \cdot H_2O$ [4]. But there are some differences between their



Figure 1. The molecular structure of 1 drawn at 50% probability level.



Figure 2. Packing view along the *b*-axis of 1, showing the 3-D framework with large channels, where all $[C_4H_{15}N_3]^{2+}$ are omitted for clarity.

P. Li et al.

Figure 3. The pore aperture and size of (a) 1 and (b) $[H_3N(CH_2)_3NH_3]Ga_3F_2P_3O_{12} \cdot H_2O$.

D-H (Å) $H \cdots A (Å)$ $D \cdots A (Å)$ $D – H \cdot \cdot \cdot A$ ∠DHA (°) N1-H1A · · · F2#1 2.133 2.981 159.04 0.890 N1-H1B···O5#2 0.890 2.487 3.130 129.55 2.649 135.52 $N1-H1B\cdots O2$ 0.890 3.342 2.193 2.959 143.92 N1-H1C···O9#2 0.890 N1-H1C · · · F1#1 0.890 2.395 2.922 118.17 N1-H1C · · · O3#1 0.890 2.516 3.032 117.58 N1-H1C · · · O12#2 0.890 2.553 3.238 134.34 $N1-H1D\cdots O6$ 0.890 2.300 3.050 141.85 2.622 $N1-H1D\cdots O2$ 0.890 3.342 138.61 N1-H1E ··· O5#2 0.890 2.304 3.130 154.26 N1-H1E ··· O3#1 0.890 2.367 3.032 131.64 N1-H1E · · · O9#2 0.890 2.399 2.959 121.12 N1-H1R · · · F2#1 2.132 2.981 159.29 0.890 $N1-H1R \cdots F1\#1$ 0.890 2.345 2.922 122.61 $N2-H2 \cdots O1$ 0.900 2.028 2.916 168.85 2.937 N3-H3A · · · O4#3 0.890 2.085 160.01 N3-H3A · · · O1#3 0.890 2.147 2.788 128.29 N3-H3B····O11#3 0.890 2.259 3.106 159.01

Table 3. Details of hydrogen bonds for 1.

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1: x + 1/2, y, -z + 1/2; #2: -x + 1/2, y - 1/2, z; #3: -x, -y + 1, -z + 1.

crystal structures. In the literature compound, there exists a lattice water molecule and 10-membered ring channels along the *a*-axis, while **1** has no lattice water and has 10-membered ring channels along the *b*-axis. The pore size of **1** (9.777 × 8.236 Å²) is a little smaller than that of the literature compound (9.465 × 9.289 Å²) (figure 3b), which can be attributed to the different templates used.

3.4.2. Crystal structure of 2. Single-crystal X-ray analysis demonstrated that **2** is triclinic with space group $P\bar{1}$, a=5.2016(6)Å, b=9.8393(10)Å, c=9.9885(11)Å,

1260

Figure 4. The molecular structure of 2 drawn at 50% probability level.

Figure 5. Packing view along the *b*-axis of **2**, showing the 1-D chain, where $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)]^{3+}$ are omitted for clarity.

 $\alpha = 79.8830(10)^{\circ}$, $\beta = 85.440(2)^{\circ}$, $\gamma = 87.185(2)^{\circ}$, V = 507.36(9) Å³, Z = 1. As shown in figure 4, the molecular structure of **2** consists of a $[Ga_3F_4(HPO_4)_4]^{3-}$, one double-protonated and one single-protonated ethylenediamine cations, combined as $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)]^{3+}$. There are two crystallographically distinct sites for gallium and phosphorus atoms; Ga(1) is octahedrally coordinated with four oxygens (O1, O1A, O5, O5A) from adjacent HPO₄ tetrahedra and two bridging fluorines (F2, F2A). The Ga(1)–O distances vary from 1.958(2) to 1.9859(19) Å with a mean of 1.972 Å and

Figure 6. View along the *a*-axis showing pseudo 10-membered rings constructed by $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds, where $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)]^{3+}$ are omitted for clarity.

Ga(1)–F distance is 1.9522(18) Å. Ga(2) is also octahedrally coordinated with four oxygens (O2, O6, O3B, O8B) from adjacent HPO₄ tetrahedra and two fluorines, in which F1 is terminal and F2 is bridging. The Ga(2)–O distances vary from 1.9319(19) to 2.0100(19) Å with a mean of 1.9663 Å. The Ga(2)–F1 distance is 1.9328(16) Å and Ga(2)–F2 distance is 1.9405(18) Å.

In the crystal, four HPO₄ tetrahedra, two distorted GaO₄F₂ octahedra, and a shared GaO₄F₂ octahedron are connected through bridging O and F forming the dumbbell-shaped basic structure unit of $[Ga_3F_4(HPO_4)_4]^{3-}$. $[Ga_3F_4(HPO_4)_4]^{3-}$ is a new type of fluorinated gallium phosphate anion. These building units are connected by bridging O(3) and O(8) forming an infinite 1-D chain structure (figure 5), which contains a sixmembered ring along the *b*-axis.

Multipoint hydrogen-bond interactions play an important role in the formation and stability of low-dimensional structures. In **2**, $[Ga_3F_4(HPO_4)_4]^{3-}$ are further connected by O–H···O hydrogen bonds among the hydroxyl groups forming a 3-D structure with pseudo 10-memberd ring channels along the *a*-axis (figure 6), with O···O distances of 2.434–3.002 Å. The $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)]^{3+}$ cations are located in these pseudo inorganic channels to compensate the negative charges and interact with the framework both electrostatically and *via* N–H···F and N–H···O hydrogen bonds forming a stable 3-D supramolecular system (figure 7), with N···F distances in the range

Figure 7. Packing of **2** along the *a*-axis showing the 3-D supramolecular system constructed by O–H···O, N–H···F, and N–H···O hydrogen bonds.

D–H · · · A	D–H (Å)	$H\cdots A\;(\mathring{A})$	$D\cdots A \;(\mathring{A})$	∠DHA (°)
N1–H1A····F1#1	0.890	1.961	2.792	154.85
$N1-H1B\cdots F1$	0.890	2.006	2.835	154.49
$N1-H1B\cdots O2$	0.890	2.234	2.887	129.84
$N1-H1C \cdots F1\#2$	0.890	1.955	2.801	158.26
N1–H1C···O3	0.890	2.648	3.269	127.76
N2–H2A · · · O5#3	0.890	2.170	3.033	163.11
N2–H2A····O7#3	0.890	2.240	2.848	125.24
$N2-H2B\cdots O7\#4$	0.890	2.252	2.952	135.40
N2-H2C····O1#5	0.890	1.937	2.804	164.13
N2–H2C····O4#5	0.890	2.642	3.294	130.90
O4–H4···O3#5	0.820	1.999	2.771	156.71
O4–H4…O2#6	0.820	2.570	3.002	114.29
O7–H7 · · · O7#7	0.820	1.664	2.434	155.33

Table 4. Hydrogen bond detail for 2.

Symmetry transformations used to generate equivalent atoms: #1: -x+3, -y+2, -z+2; #2: x-1, y, z; #3: x, y, z+1; #4: -x+2, -y+2, -z+2; #5: -x+2, -y+3, -z+2; #6: -x+3, -y+3, -z+2; #7: -x+3, -y+2, -z+1.

2.792–2.835 Å and N···O distances of 2.804–3.294 Å. The details of hydrogen bonds for **2** are given in table 4.

4. Conclusions

We have synthesized two fluorinated gallium phosphates templated by organic amines, $(C_4H_{15}N_3)[Ga_3F_2(PO_4)_3]$ (1) and $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)][Ga_3F_4(HPO_4)_4]$ (2).

P. Li et al.

Compound **1** has a 3-D framework with 10-membered ring channels along the *b*-axis. Compound **2** has a 3-D supramolecular structure with pseudo 10-membered ring channels along the *a*-axis, constructed by $O-H\cdots O$ hydrogen bonds. $[C_4H_{15}N_3]^{2+}$ in **1** and $[(C_2H_{10}N_2)(C_2H_9N_2)]^{3+}$ in **2** are located in the inorganic channels and interact with the polyanion framework both electrostatically and *via* N-H···O and N-H···F hydrogen bonds.

Supplementary material

"CCDC 750125 and 727098" contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this article. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk [or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC), 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: +44(0)1223-336033; Email: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

Acknowledgments

Project supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 20871078) and the Natural Science Foundation of Shaanxi Province of China (No. SJ08B01).

References

- [1] J.X. Jiang, J.H. Yu, A. Corma. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 49, 3120 (2010).
- [2] J.L. Guth, H. Kessler, R. Wey. Stud. Surf. Catal., 28, 121 (1986).
- [3] T. Loiseau, G. Ferey. J. Solid State Chem., 111, 403 (1994).
- [4] T. Loiseau, R. Retoux, P. Lacorre, G. Ferey. J. Solid State Chem., 111, 427 (1994).
- [5] S.J. Choyke, S.M. Blau, A.A. Larner, A.N. Sarjeant, J. Yeon, P.S. Halasyamani, A.J. Norquist. *Inorg. Chem.*, 48, 11277 (2009).
- [6] R.I. Walton, F. Millange, D. O'Hare, A. Le Bail, T. Loiseau, C. Serre, G. Ferey. Chem. Commun., 3, 203 (2000).
- [7] C. Paulet, T. Loiseau, G. Ferey. Chem. Mater., 12, 1997 (2000).
- [8] F. Serpaggi, T. Loiseau, G. Ferey. Acta Cryst., C53, 1568 (1997).
- [9] T. Loiseau, G. Ferey. Solid State Sci., 7, 1556 (2005).
- [10] S. Lohmeier, M. Wiebcke, P.Z. Behrens. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 634, 147 (2008).
- [11] G.M. Sheldrick. A Program for the Siemens Area Detector ABSorption Correction, University of Göttingen, Germany (1997).
- [12] G.M. Sheldrick. SHELXL97, Program for Crystal Structure Refinement, University of Göttingen, Germany (1997).
- [13] L. Wang, T.Y. Song, Y. Fan, Z.F. Tian, Y. Wang, S.H. Shi, J.N. Xu. J. Solid State Chem., 179, 3400 (2006).
- [14] L. Wang, T.Y. Song, Y. Fan, Y. Wang, J.N. Xu, S.H. Shi, T. Zhu. J. Solid State Chem., 179, 824 (2006).
- [15] S. Fernandez, J.L. Mesa, J.L. Pizarro, L. Lezama, M.I. Arriortua, T. Rojo. J. Solid State Chem., 177, 765 (2004).
- [16] G. Herzberg. Molecular Spectra and Molecular Structure, van Nostrand Reinhold, New York (1950).